







## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,756	10	0/06/2000	G. Grady McBride	5259-04900/EBM	5259-04900/EBM 8670	
	7590	03/25/2003				
Eric B Meye			EXAMINER			
Conley Rose of P O Box 398	·		DAVIS, DANIEL J			
Austin, TX 78767-039		98		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731			
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\alpha$ . K				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•		09/680,756	MCBRIDE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	<u>.</u>	D Jacob Davis	3731					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cov r shee	et with the correspondence addre	ss				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any f	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) and cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed  If thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this committee ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on prio	r art 5/13/02						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	matters, prosecution as to the modern to the	nerits is				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 187-282 is/are pending in the application	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>187-282</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requireme	nt.					
	ion Papers							
·—	The specification is objected to by the Examine		le III a Caracina					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)[	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n maiority under 25 H.C	C & 110(a) (d) or (f)					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 0.5	1.C. 9 119(a)-(a) of (1).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	to have been received						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen			ano				
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bushee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(	a)).	.gc				
14) 🗌 🖊	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).				
a 15) [ ]	a)  The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application hatic priority under 35 U.	as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachmer								
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 r:					
LLS Patent and	Frademark Office							

Art Unit: 3731

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 187-276, drawn to a connector, classified in class 606, subclass
   59.
- II. Claim 277-279, drawn to a stabilization system, classified in class 606, subclass 61.
- III. Claims 280-282, drawn to a method of attaching a connector, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Groups I,II and Group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can perform a different process, such as stabilizing bone.

Inventions Group II and Group I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed

Art Unit: 3731

Page 3

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed. The first and second sections of the connector are required to move relative to one another in the subcombination but not in the combination. Moreover, the fastener in the subcombination requires movement of the first and second sections, while the combination does not. The subcombination has separate utility such as locking two parallel support rods relative to one another, e.g. in a laboratory set-up comprising racks and support strands for glassware such as beakers and test tubes.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

## SPECIES REPRESENTATIVE FIGURE

1 4 5 2 5 3 6 7 elect 5 19 6

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic to all embodiments.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

DJD March 14, 2003 DAVID O. REIP PRIMARY EXAMINER